



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,436		08/21/2003	Martin Gleave	UBC.P-030 9171  EXAMINER		
57381	7590	03/02/2006				
Marina Larson & Associates, LLC				CHONG, KIMBERLY		
	P.O. BOX 4928 DILLON, CO 80435			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DILLOW, C	DIBEON, 00 00.33			1635		
				DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	<i>p</i> .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	, arreery , totaer,	10/646,436	GLEAVE ET AL.					
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kimberly Chong	1635					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE 1.	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal REPLY FILED 16 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:  The period for reply expires months from the mailing o The period for reply expires out (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fi) sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on iled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta- if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL  The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filling the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to NOMENTS  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise new issues that would require further co (c) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise new issues that would require further co (c) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise new issues that would require further co (c) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise new issues that would require further co (c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c)	Examiner  Kimberly Chong  ars on the cover sheet with the of APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replaction of the final rejection.  Also ANDITION THE FIGURE OF THE F	Art Unit  1635  correspondence add  OR ALLOWANCE.  If Appeal. To avoid ab  Iffidavit, or other evide  compliance with 37 O  ly must be filed within  e final rejection, whicheve  f the final rejection.  IRST REPLY WAS FILE  I) and the appropriate extension  if final Office action; or (2)  on, even if timely filed, man  e filed within two mon  ), to avoid dismissal of  orth in 37 CFR 41.37(  off, will not be entered  In will not be entered  If a will not be entered  If will not be en	andonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the ence is later. In no DWITHIN TWO ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any this of the date of the appeal. a).  Decause  The issues for (PTOL-324).  The issues for ence in the interval ence in				
	See Continuation Sheet			<del>-</del>				
_	See Continuation Sheet.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive with regard to the claims being anticipated by Monia et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,383,808). Applicant argues Monia et al. does not provide an enabling disclosure of the invention as claimed. Applicant argues Monia et al. does not disclose "even one actual RNA sequence" and therefore only provides an "invitation to experiment". This is not found persuasive because Applicant has not proivded a reason why Monia et al. is not enabling and further there is no evidence on record that shows why the RNA oligonucleotides targeted to clusterin, as disclosed by Monia et al. do not mediate degradation or block translation of clusterin mRNA. Applicant's claimed invention is drawn to an RNA molecule targeted to a clusterin gene and it must be noted that Applicant has not claimed a specific RNA sequence, by SEQ ID NO., that targets clusterin (see claim 1 for example). Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-3 and 10-13 is maintained as being anticipated by Monia et al. Applicant argues claims 10 and 11 should be afforded the filling date of Provisional application 60/472,387 filed on 05/20/2003 because the provisional application discloses SEQ ID NO. 10. Claims 10 and 11 are not claiming SEQ ID NO. 10 and therefore the rejection of record of claims 10 and 11 as being anticipated by Monia et al. under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) is maintained for the reasons in the previous final rejection filed 1/9/2006. Applicant's amendments filed 02/16/2006 have been entered however they are not sufficient to overcome the objection to claims 4, 14, 31 and 33 because the claims still contain non-elected subject matter and are still dependent on a rejected base claim.

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER 1635